

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/537,995	03/29/2000	Shreedhar Madhavapeddi	2320	6754
7590 03/12/2004			EXAMINER	
Albert S Michalik			TRAN, THIEN D	
Law Offices of Albert S. Michalik, PLLC				
704 228th Avenue, NE			ART UNIT	PAPÉR NUMBER
Suite 193			2665	7
Sammanish, WA 98074			DATE MAILED: 03/12/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
0.55	09/537,995	MADHAVAPEDDI ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Thien D Tran	2665				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR R THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATI - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 C after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communicatic - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory p - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ON. FR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a on. a reply within the statutory minimum of th eeriod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MO statute, cause the application to become A	reply be timely filed into (30) days will be considered timely. NTHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	12 January 2004.					
2a)⊠ This action is FINAL . 2b)□	This action is non-final.					
,—	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) 1-41 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-41 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction as	hdrawn from consideration.					
Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 						
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date						
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) 6) Other:						

Art Unit: 2665

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371(c) of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.

The changes made to 35 U.S.C. 102(e) by the American Inventors Protection Act of 1999 (AIPA) and the Intellectual Property and High Technology Technical Amendments Act of 2002 do not apply when the reference is a U.S. patent resulting directly or indirectly from an international application filed before November 29, 2000. Therefore, the prior art date of the reference is determined under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) prior to the amendment by the AIPA (pre-AIPA 35 U.S.C. 102(e)).

2. Claims 1-42 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being participated by Ravikanth (U.S Patent 6,327,274).

Regarding claims 1, 40, Ravikanth discloses a method for obtaining information for packets transmitted over a network, comprising:

transmitting a plurality of packets from a sender to a receiver, including at least one selected packet;

associating a sender-relative timestamp with each selected packet transmitted; receiving at least some of the plurality of packets (col.4 lines 1-10);

Art Unit: 2665

associating a receiver relative timestamp with each selected packet received;

associating a latency based on the sender-relative timestamp and the receiverrelative timestamp associated with each selected packet received. See col.2 lines 5-60

Regarding claims 2, 20, Ravikanth discloses a method, wherein associating the sender-relative timestamp includes placing a local timestamp of the sender into each selected packet. See col.3 lines 60-65.

Regarding claim 3, Ravikanth discloses a method, wherein associating the receiver-relative timestamp includes placing a local timestamp of the receiver into each selected packet. See col.6 lines 25-45.

Regarding claims 4, 41, Ravikanth discloses a method, wherein associating the sender-relative timestamp includes placing a local timestamp of the sender into each selected packet, and associating the receiver-relative timestamp includes placing a local timestamp of the receiver into each selected packet. See col.5 lines 45-60.

Regarding claim 5, Ravikanth discloses a method further comprising uniquely identifying each selected packet. See col.4 lines 15-30.

Regarding claims 6, 31, Ravikanth discloses a method, wherein uniquely identifying each selected packet includes writing a sequence number. See col.6 lines 50-60.

Regarding claims 7, 22, 32, 33, 42 Ravikanth discloses a method further comprising normalizing the latency associated with each selected packet. See col.5 lines 15-35.

Art Unit: 2665

Regarding claims 8, 21, 23, 34, 38, Ravikanth discloses a method, wherein at least two selected packets are received, and wherein normalizing the latency includes selecting the lowest latency from each of the latencies associated with each selected packet. See col.5 lines 30-50.

Regarding claims 9, 25, Ravikanth discloses a method, wherein normalizing the latency includes detecting at least one timer jump and adjusting information maintained for each selected packet to compensate therefor. See col.6 lines 35-55.

Regarding claims 10, 24, 26-28, Ravikanth discloses a method, wherein normalizing the latency includes, detecting clock skew, and adjusting information maintained for each selected packet to compensate for the clock skew. See col.4 lines 20-40.

Regarding claim 11, Ravikanth discloses a method, wherein a plurality of selected packets is received, and wherein detecting clock skew includes logically finding a slope based on information maintained with the selected packets. See col.4 lines 40-65.

Regarding 12, Ravikanth discloses a method further comprising, normalizing the sender-relative timestamp, associated with each selected packet. See col.3 lines 30-65.

Regarding claim 13, Ravikanth discloses a method further comprising, normalizing the receiver-relative timestamp associated with each selected packet. See col.4 lines 1-10.

Art Unit: 2665

Regarding claim 14, Ravikanth discloses a method, wherein the network is a controlled network, and further comprising running a calibration phase during transmission of at least some of the transmitted packets. See col.6 lines 55-65.

Regarding claim 15, Ravikanth discloses method further comprising, generating noise by transmitting other packets on the network. See col.5 lines 60-63.

Regarding claim 16, Ravikanth discloses a method further comprising, enabling network quality of service. See figure 2.

Regarding claim 17, Ravikanth discloses a method further comprising, detecting dropped packets.

Regarding claim 18, Ravikanth discloses a computer-readable medium having computer executable instructions for performing. See figure 1.

Regarding claim 19, Ravikanth discloses a system for obtaining information transmitted over a network, comprising:

a network sender system, including:

a sender process configured to cause transmission of a plurality of selected packets on the network (col.4 lines 1-34); and

a sender component configured to associate a sender timestamp of the sender with each selected packet (col.5 lines 5-20);

and,

a network receiver system configured to receive each selected packet transmitted on the network, the receiver system including:

Art Unit: 2665

a receiver component configured to associate a receiver timestamp with each selected packet received (col.6 lines 20-40); and

a receiver process, the receiver process maintaining information corresponding to the sender timestamp and receiver timestamp, in association with each selected packet. See figure 1.

Regarding claims 29,30, 36, 37, Ravikanth discloses a computer-readable medium having stored thereon a data structure, comprising:

a first field operable to store data representative of a packet send time;

a second field operable to store data representative of a packet receive time; and

a third field operable to store data representative of a packet latency time. See

col.2 lines 25-50.

Regarding claim 35, Ravikanth discloses a computer-readable medium having stored thereon a data structure, comprising:

a first field operable to store data representative of a packet sequence number;

a second field operable to store data representative of a packet send time; and

a third-field operable to store data representative of a packet receive time. See

col.4 lines 15-55.

Response to Arguments

3. Applicant's arguments filed 01/12/2004 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Art Unit: 2665

Applicant argues that Raviknath does not disclose associating latency with each selected packet. However, Examiner disagrees with the argument because Raviknah discloses computing (associating) time delay (latency) of each received packet (selected packet) at the receiving node based on the recorded timestamps associated with the packet. See col.2 lines 50-56.

Applicant argues that Raviknath does not disclose a computer readable medium stored sent time, received time and latency time of the packet. However, Examiner disagrees with the argument because Raviknath discloses that a computer node D (computer readable medium) calculates a delay skew based on the measurement of timestamps, transmitted time, received time and delayed time (latency time) of the received packet. Therefore, the computer node D must have a memory for storing values of the timestamps, the transmitted time, the received time and the delayed time (latency time) of the received packet in order for the computer node D performs the calculation properly.

Conclusion

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the

Art Unit: 2665

Page 8

shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of

the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later

than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

4. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communication from the

examiner should be directed to Thien Tran whose telephone number is (703) 308-4388.

The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday from 8:30AM to 5:00PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Huy Vu, can be reached on (703) 308-6602. Any inquiry of a general nature

of relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Group

receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 305-3900.

Thien Tran

ALPUS H. HSU PRIMARY EXAMINER

Alpan or von